

Top 5 Municipal Bond Market Insights for 2026

Discipline Drives Differentiated Results in 2026

From MacKay Municipal Managers™

At the midpoint of 2026, we believe the municipal market continues to offer a compelling case for allocation. Near-record demand is meeting heightened supply, creating a market that remains well supported but more discerning. Importantly, absolute yield levels continue to provide a meaningful tax-exempt income opportunity, while valuations appear reasonable and credit fundamentals remain broadly supportive. As investors balance income needs with a market shaped by shifting supply, demand, and curve dynamics, we believe active management grounded in credit research and relative value discipline remains important to capturing opportunities and managing risk through the balance of the year. The opportunity appears durable, but selectivity should matter more now.

Theme	Rationale	Portfolio in Action	Mid-Year Status
1 Essential service munis lead in 2026, proving revenue resilience	Investment grade essential-service revenue-backed bonds, supported by secured or dedicated revenue streams, appear well positioned to outperform their tax-backed equivalents in 2026. Their credit strength is driven less by political decision-making and more by stable, diversified cash flows and strong debt service coverage tied to non-discretionary demand. As fiscal tightening and political churn raise questions around GO flexibility, these revenue sectors may increasingly serve as relative risk mitigants within the municipal market. Political shifts—highlighted by 36 gubernatorial elections—add a secondary layer of uncertainty. Platforms centered on expanded social spending or broader policy ambitions may further pressure operating budgets already challenged by reduced federal inflows. While this political noise will not affect all issuers equally, it is likely to widen dispersion and create pockets of mispricing across the GO market. Diminishing federal support is becoming a defining factor for the municipal market in 2026, creating downstream volatility for many state and local governments. As federal aid—pandemic-related or otherwise—recedes, budget pressures intensify, leaving governments with fewer resources to manage rising costs, fund social programs, and maintain financial flexibility. These strains fall most heavily on general obligation (GO) credits in our view, where policymakers must navigate competing priorities within increasingly constrained budgets.	We have maintained an underweight to State and Local General Obligation bonds across our portfolios, reflecting tighter relative valuations and growing fiscal differentiation as COVID-era support fades. While many State and Local issuers remain fundamentally sound, slower tax receipts, elevated spending needs, and political uncertainty may expose those with less budget flexibility or weaker fiscal discipline. In our view, current valuations do not always compensate investors for those risks. We continue to favor essential service revenue bonds, where dedicated revenue streams and resilient demand characteristics may provide stronger credit visibility.	On Target - The revenue bond sector is outperforming general obligation (2.44% vs. 2.02%) YTD¹ - After years of steady improvement, general obligation credit rating actions turned negative. YTD, general obligation issuers have been upgraded 0.81 times for every downgrade.² - According to Pew³, “After five years of widespread volatility, state tax revenue growth fell more in line with historical norms in fiscal year 2025.” Pew also states, “The number of states performing below their long-term revenue trends held steady in fiscal 2025, suggesting that growth in most states had settled into a more stable—though still below trend—post-pandemic pattern. Early fiscal 2026 data suggests that this has largely continued, with revenue growing steadily but failing to regain lost ground relative to long-term trends.”

Theme	Rationale	Portfolio in Action	Mid-Year Status
2 Investment grade curve positioning drives returns in 2026	In 2025, the municipal yield curve normalized from significant steepening (Source: Bloomberg), leaving the 12-22 year segment particularly attractive. Regardless of where interest rates ultimately move, we believe the best relative value in Investment Grade municipals resides in this area. In our observation, persistent demand from SMA and passive strategies has compressed valuations from 10 years and in on the curve, pushing investors toward the outer limits of their comfort zones in search of incremental yield. However, the structural constraints of these investment vehicles ultimately cap their ability to extend further, leaving the most compelling relative value firmly in the hands of flexible, unconstrained managers operating in less crowded portions of the curve. The structure of the Investment Grade municipal curve remains one of the most important return drivers for 2026. New issuance patterns should concentrate in shorter and intermediate maturities as issuers seek to capitalize on rich valuations created by SMA and ETF demand. This technical imbalance reinforces valuation compression in the front and belly of the curve and further supports deliberate positioning in the longer end, where superior valuation, favorable rolldown potential, and improved forward return characteristics create a meaningful performance advantage.	We entered the year positioned to take advantage of the more attractive portions of the investment grade municipal curve, with exposure focused on longer intermediate maturities while remaining selective in shorter, richer segments. As the curve flattened from historically steep levels, this positioning contributed to performance relative to benchmark. Throughout the period, we continued to emphasize structures and maturities where valuations were more compelling and technical demand was less crowded. This active approach allowed the portfolios to maintain attractive income potential while preserving flexibility around credit selection, liquidity, and curve positioning.	On Target - The long end of the municipal curve has led performance year-to-date, with the 12-year and longer segments of the Bloomberg Municipal Index outperforming the broader Index. The 15-Year (12–17) Index returned 2.33%, the 20-Year (17–22) Index returned 4.42%, and the Long 22+ Year Index returned 4.01%, compared with 2.32% for the overall Index.⁴ - The AAA municipal yield curve between 10- and 20-year maturities has flattened from peak levels, but remains attractive by recent standards. At 84 bps, the spread between 10-year and 20-year AAA municipal yields has been wider only 13% of the time over the past ten years, supporting the continued opportunity in longer intermediate maturities.⁵ - New issuance has skewed shorter, with approximately 41% of municipal bonds coming to market inside of 10 years, compared with roughly 33% over the prior decade. This has reinforced the crowded trade in shorter maturities, while leaving under-owned longer intermediate bonds with more attractive return potential.⁶
3 Dispersion of high yield muni fund returns puts onus on credit selection vs income	Yield isn't everything in high yield. For those solely focused on the "yield" portion of total returns, it is important to note that income is only one leg of the total return stool, and yield alone is an insufficient guide—particularly as dispersion across high yield fund returns, already evident in 2025, is poised to widen further in 2026. Idiosyncratic credit risks are increasingly driving outcomes and putting price appreciation at risk, especially as several high-profile credit events approach key inflection points. In this environment, capital preservation, volatility management, and the avoidance of permanent impairment are just as critical as income generation. Emphasizing liquidity, transparency, and proactive downside risk management—while avoiding the more esoteric risks embedded in lower-quality or project-finance-driven credits—allows investors to capture incremental spread without assuming disproportionate volatility. Success in 2026 will depend on disciplined credit research, proactive surveillance, and targeted, thoughtful risk-taking where compensation is clear and measurable.	We have maintained a disciplined posture within high yield municipal portfolios, emphasizing liquidity, structural protections, and credits where potential compensation is clearer. We remain constructive on select opportunities, particularly bonds with strong covenants, durable revenue profiles, or discounted structures that offer upside potential with some downside risk mitigation. At the same time, tight compensation reinforces the importance of avoiding highly leveraged issuers and credits where incremental yield does not adequately compensate for downside risk. Our focus remains on total return through the cycle, supported by disciplined underwriting and downside risk management.	Pending High yield muni compensation remains limited by historical standards. At 183 bps, the yield to worst (YTW) differential of the Bloomberg HY Muni Index versus the Bloomberg Municipal Index has been higher approximately 89% of the time over the past decade, suggesting limited excess compensation for deeper credit risk.⁷

Theme	Rationale	Portfolio in Action	Mid-Year Status
4 Intermediate taxable municipals elevate multi-asset portfolios	Having outperformed the Bloomberg Aggregate Fixed Income Index in 8 of the last 10 years (Source: Bloomberg), we believe intermediate taxable municipals can strengthen the return profiles of “core”-focused fixed income portfolios. For multi-asset allocators, taxable munis provide an under-recognized source of yield, diversification, and credit resilience that historically have weathered volatility shocks across fixed income markets. We expect these benefits to prove particularly prominent when compared with US corporate bonds in 2026, as an oncoming wave of corporate supply could lead to deteriorating technicals as well as negative ratings migration in that asset class. An allocation to taxable munis, which offer greater credit quality stability and a more favorable supply-demand dynamic, is likely to gird multi-asset portfolios against these potential detractors. Rising international interest should only add to this relief by supporting deeper liquidity and tighter spreads.	Taxable municipals continue to serve as a diversified source of high-grade income within multi-asset portfolios. Year to date, the sector has benefited from strong credit quality, stable investor demand, and a subdued issuance backdrop, helping support spreads despite ongoing macroeconomic uncertainty. With corporate valuations tight and growth concerns still present, we believe taxable municipals continue to offer attractive relative value versus other high-quality fixed income sectors.	On Target - Taxable municipals have outperformed core fixed income year to date, returning 1.17% versus 0.62% for the Bloomberg U.S. Aggregate Index and 0.70% for the Morningstar Intermediate Core Bond category.⁸ - The sector has also generated positive excess returns versus duration-matched government bonds, with excess returns of +109 bps year to date.⁹
5 Complement passive SMA exposure by adding more flexible products	Passive SMAs have several structural constraints that prevent them from accessing some of the most attractively priced segments of the municipal market. Not only does this reality represent foregone opportunities and exposure to less attractive positions, but it also leaves demand imbalances that increase the relative value of the securities and tactics that these vehicles pass over. That alpha need not be left uncaptured; adding allocations to managers that have greater flexibility can complete the return picture. Areas such as AMT bonds, electric and natural-gas prepaids, and housing securities remain underrepresented in passive portfolios—not due to credit concerns, but because passive methodologies are not equipped to analyze their structural complexity or variable cash flows. Active managers, by contrast, can target these overlooked areas while also managing positions to proactively capture structural advantages brought by shifting markets.	We continue to see investors complement traditional SMA exposure with more flexible municipal strategies that can access broader market segments and respond actively to relative-value opportunities. This includes moving beyond crowded areas of the yield curve, emphasizing differentiated structures, and selectively adding overlooked sectors where compensation appears attractive. In our view, this flexibility can enhance income and total return potential while reducing overconcentration in commonly owned, benchmark-like segments of the municipal market.	On Target - Traditional 1–10 year managed-money municipal exposure continues to offer lower starting yields than broader actively managed municipal opportunities. The Bloomberg Managed Money Short/Intermediate 1–10 Year Blend yields 2.73%, versus an average yield of 3.28% for actively managed funds in the Morningstar Muni National Intermediate category, highlighting the income tradeoff associated with narrower, SMA exposure.¹⁰ - For investors willing to extend duration, the average yield for actively managed funds in the Morningstar Muni National Long category is 3.77%, more than 100 bps above the short/intermediate managed-money proxy.¹¹

1. Source: Bloomberg as of 06/30/2026.

2. Source: Bloomberg, 06/29/2026.

3. Source: The Pew Charitable Trusts, “State Tax Revenue Stabilizes Amid Rising Fiscal Uncertainty,” 04/02/2026.

4. Source: Bloomberg as of 06/30/2026.

5. Source: ICE as of 06/30/2026.

6. Source: JPMorgan as of 06/26/2026.

7. Source: Bloomberg as of 06/30/2026.

8. Source: Bloomberg, Morningstar as of 06/30/2026.

9. Source: ICE as of 06/30/2026.

10. Source: Bloomberg as of 06/30/2026.

11. Source: Morningstar as of 06/30/2026.

DISCLOSURES

MacKay Shields LLC does not offer or sponsor any funds registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended ("Registered Funds"). MacKay Shields LLC serves in the capacity as investment manager of certain Registered Funds through sub-advisory arrangements.

Liquidity risk is the risk that certain securities may be difficult or impossible to sell at the time that the seller would like or at the price that the seller believes the security is currently worth.

Availability of this document and products and services provided by MacKay Shields LLC may be limited by applicable laws and regulations in certain jurisdictions and this document is provided only for persons to whom this document and the products and services of MacKay Shields LLC may otherwise lawfully be issued or made available. None of the products and services provided by MacKay Shields LLC are offered to any person in any jurisdiction where such offering would be contrary to local law or regulation. It does not constitute investment advice and should not be construed as an offer to buy securities. The contents of this document have not been reviewed by any regulatory authority in any jurisdiction. This material contains the opinions of the MacKay Municipal Managers™ team of MacKay Shields LLC but not necessarily those of MacKay Shields LLC. The opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. This material is distributed for informational purposes only. Forecasts, estimates, and opinions contained herein should not be considered as investment advice or a recommendation of any particular security, strategy or investment product. Information contained herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable, but not guaranteed. Any forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made and MacKay Shields assumes no duty and does not undertake to update forward-looking statements. No part of this document may be reproduced in any form, or referred to in any other publication, without express written permission of MacKay Shields LLC. ©2026, MacKay Shields LLC. All Rights Reserved.

Past performance is not indicative of future results. It is not possible to invest directly into an index.

MUNICIPAL MUTUAL FUND INVESTMENT RISK DISCLOSURE

Municipal bond risks include the ability of the issuer to repay the obligation, the relative lack of information about certain issuers, and the possibility of future tax and legislative changes, which could affect the market for and value of municipal securities. Investing in below investment grade securities may carry a greater risk of nonpayment of interest or principal than higher-rated securities.

Diversification cannot assure a profit or protect against loss in a declining market.

Investing in municipal mutual funds involves risks, and there is no assurance that the investment objectives of any municipal mutual fund will be attained. Potential investors should consider the risks, fees and consult with a financial advisor before investing. The federal and state tax-free status of municipal bond income can be changed by legislative or regulatory action, potentially impacting the attractiveness and value of these securities. Investors should understand that the value of their investment can fluctuate and that they might lose money. Past performance is not indicative of future results. For detailed information about these risks, potential investors should read the fund's prospectus and consult with a financial advisor.

Active management is the use of a human element, such as a single manager, co-managers or a team of managers, to actively manage a fund's portfolio. Active management strategies typically have higher fees than passive management.

SOURCE DISCLOSURES

Comparisons to a financial index are provided for illustrative purposes only. Comparisons to an index are subject to limitations because portfolio holdings, volatility and other portfolio characteristics may differ materially from the index. Unlike an index, portfolios within the composite are actively managed and may also include derivatives. There is no guarantee that any of the securities in an index are contained in any managed portfolio. The performance of an index may assume reinvestment of dividends and income, or follow other index-specific methodologies and criteria, but does not reflect the impact of fees, applicable taxes or trading costs which, unlike an index, may reduce the returns of a managed portfolio. Investors cannot invest in an index. Because of these differences, the performance of an index should not be relied upon as an accurate measure of comparison.



For more information

800-624-6782

nylim.com

MacKay Municipal Managers is a trademark of MacKay Shields LLC.

MacKay Shields LLC is a wholly owned subsidiary of New York Life Investment Management Holdings LLC, which is wholly owned by New York Life Insurance Company.

"New York Life Investment Management" is the brand name and service mark used to represent a group of affiliated investment advisors of New York Life Insurance Company, including New York Life Investment Management LLC, a registered investment advisor. Securities distributed by NYLIFE Distributors LLC, 30 Hudson Street, Jersey City, NJ 07302, Member FINRA/SIPC.

8150994

All ICE Data Indices referenced herein (Each such Index, The "INDEX"), are products of ICE Data Indices, LLC ("ICE DATA"), and are used with permission. ICE® is a registered trademark of ICE Data or its affiliates, and BofA® is a registered trademark of Bank of America Corporation licensed by Bank of America Corporation and its affiliates ("BofA") and may not be used without BofA's prior written approval. ICE DATA, its affiliates and their respective third party suppliers disclaim any and all warranties and representations, express and/or implied, including any warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose or use, including the Indices, Index data and any data included in, related to, or derived therefrom. Neither ICE Data, its affiliates nor their respective third party suppliers shall be subjected to any damages or liability with respect to the adequacy, accuracy, timeliness or completeness of the Indices or the Index data or any component thereof, and the Indices and Index data and all components thereof are provided on an "AS IS" basis and your use is at your own risk. Inclusion of a security within an Index is not a recommendation by ICE Data to buy, sell, or hold such security, nor is it considered to be investment advice. ICE Data, its affiliates and their respective third party suppliers do not sponsor, endorse, or recommend MacKay Shields LLC, or any of its products or services.

"Bloomberg®", "Bloomberg Indices®", Bloomberg Fixed Income Indices, Bloomberg Equity Indices and all other Bloomberg indices referenced herein are service marks of Bloomberg Finance L.P. and its affiliates, including Bloomberg Index Services Limited ("BISL"), the administrator of the indices (collectively, "Bloomberg") and have been licensed for use for certain purposes by MacKay Shields LLC ("MacKay Shields"). Bloomberg is not affiliated with MacKay Shields, and Bloomberg does not approve, endorse, review, or recommend MacKay Shields or any products, funds or services described herein. Bloomberg does not guarantee the timeliness, accurateness, or completeness of any data or information relating to MacKay Shields or any products, funds or services described herein.

INDEX DESCRIPTIONS

Bloomberg Municipal High Yield Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds with the following characteristics: fixed coupon rate, credit rating of Ba1 or lower or non-rated using the middle rating of Moody's, S&P, and Fitch, outstanding par value of at least \$3 million, and issued as part of a transaction of at least \$20 million. **Bloomberg Municipal Managed Money Intermediate 1-17 Year Bond Index** is an unmanaged, tax-exempt bond market index that measures the 1-17 year maturity component of the Bloomberg Barclays Municipal Managed Money Bond Index. **Bloomberg Municipal Bond Index:** a rules-based, market-value-weighted index engineered for the long-term tax-exempt bond market. Bonds must be rated investment-grade (Baa3/BBB- or higher) by at least two ratings agencies. They must have an outstanding par value of at least \$7 million and be issued as part of a transaction of at least \$75 million. The bonds must be fixed rate, have a dated-date after December 31, 1990, and must be at least one year from their maturity date. The **Bloomberg U.S. Aggregate Bond Index** is a broad-based benchmark tracking the U.S. dollar-denominated, investment-grade, fixed-rate taxable bond market, including Treasuries, government-related and corporate securities, various mortgage-backed securities, asset-backed securities, and commercial mortgage-backed securities. **ICE BofA U.S. Taxable Municipal Securities Index** tracks the performance of U.S. dollar denominated investment grade taxable municipal securities publicly issued in the U.S. domestic market. **ICE ICE BofA U.S. Corporate Index** tracks the performance of U.S. dollar denominated investment grade corporate debt publicly issued in the U.S. domestic market. **CREDIT RATING DISCLOSURES:**

Bloomberg Credit Rating (for index): For rated securities, credit quality for index classification purposes is assigned as the middle rating of Moody's, S&P and Fitch; when a rating from only two agencies is available, the lower is used; when only one agency rates a bond, that rating is used.

ICE BofA Credit Ratings (for index): ICE BofA utilizes its own composite scale, similar to those of Moody's, S&P and Fitch, when publishing a composite rating on an index constituent (eg. BBB3, BBB2, BBB1). Index constituent composite ratings are the simple averages of numerical equivalent values of the ratings from Moody's, S&P and Fitch. If only two of the designated agencies rate a bond, the composite rating is based on an average of the two. Likewise, if only one of the designated agencies rates a bond, the composite rating is based on that one rating.